



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/973,199	10/10/2001	Bangalore Eahwar Amita Rani	056859-0131	4508

22428 7590 04/11/2006
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP
SUITE 500
3000 K STREET NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20007

EXAMINER

HUYNH, PHUONG N

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1644

DATE MAILED: 04/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/973,199	Applicant(s) RANI ET AL.	
	Examiner Phuong Huynh	Art Unit 1644	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE Three MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 January 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2 and 5-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2 and 5-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/12/06 has been entered.
2. Claims 1-2 and 5-15 are pending and are being acted upon in this Office Action.
3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
4. Claims 1-2 and 5-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The "yolk antibodies binding to small molecule organo chloride pesticides" in claim 1 is ambiguous and indefinite because it is not clear which organo chloride pesticides, i.e., trichlorophenoxyacetic acid β -alanine (TCB) or the hexachlorohexane (HCH) that the antibodies bind in the claimed process. One of ordinary skill in the art cannot appraise the metes and bound of the claimed invention.
5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office Action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.
6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering Patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor

Art Unit: 1644

and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 1-2, and 11-15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat No 5,753,228 (May 1998, PTO 892) or US Pat No 4,357,272 (Nov 1982, PTO 892) each in view of US Pat No 5,688,682 (Nov 1997; PTO 892) and Beasley *et al* (Food and Agricultural Immunology 12: 303-215, Sept 2000, PTO 892).

The '228 patent teaches a process for the production of egg yolk antibodies binding to any parasitic antigen wherein the reference method comprises the steps of selecting suitable poultry bird such as hens or chicken (See column 5, lines 6-9, in particular), immunizing the poultry birds such as the chicken with known complete adjuvant such as Freund's complete adjuvant containing heated killed and dried 1 mg/ml of M tuberculosis (See column 5, lines 13-21, Example 1, in particular). The '228 patent teaches that the adjuvant enhances the antibody responsiveness to the immunogen (See column 5, lines 13-18, in particular). The '228 patent teaches the bird such as leghorn hens, 21 weeks old are immunized with immunogen such as C parvum in Freund's complete adjuvant and booster shots are given at intervals of five weeks (See column 8, lines 26-33, in particular). The reference method wherein the eggs are collected, stored at 4 °C until processed. The '228 patent teaches that the advantages of antibodies from egg yolks of hyperimmunized hens are: it provides a continuous source of large quantities of uniform antibodies which can be easily collected and stored (column 1, lines 54-59, in particular) and whole chicken serum is also remarkably resistant to temperature and acidity (See column 5, line 49-51, in particular).

The '272 patent teaches a process for the production of egg yolk antibodies binding to any antigen wherein the reference method comprises the steps of selecting suitable poultry bird such as Leghorn chicken (See column 6, Example 1, Hens, in particular), immunizing the poultry birds such as the chicken with any antigen in the range of 1 to 5 mg/ml which is equivalent to 1000 to 5000 µg/ml intramuscularly in known incomplete adjuvant (See column 6, lines Immunization, lines 40-55, in particular). The '272 patent teaches the concentration of antigen used is not critical and varied from one antigen to another, but is generally in the range of 1 to 5 mg/ml. After the initial injection, the hens are immunized with additional injections (booster shot) at weekly intervals until the state of hyperimmunization is reached. The hyperimmunized

Art Unit: 1644

eggs are collected and stored at 4 °C until use and this continues over a period of 9 months (See column 6, lines 47-54, in particular). The '272 patent further teaches that if the antigen has low molecular weight (non-immunogenic), the immunogenicity of antigen can be enhanced by cross-linking with carbodiimines (see column 5, lines 19-31, in particular). The '272 patent teaches that the antibody IgY titer produced ranges from 128 to 512 which is within the claimed range of 165-225 (see column 8, lines 6-10, in particular). The reference production of antibody is detectable 10 days after initial immunization and continued for 4 months (See column 7, lines 67 bridging column 8, lines 1-4, in particular). The '272 patent teaches the advantages of producing egg yolk antibodies are that it is comparatively easy to raise and keep chickens under conditions where they will produce antibody against the antigen desired and the antibody produced persists over such long periods such as the entire laying period (see column 4, lines 45-50, in particular).

The claimed invention in claim 1 differs from the teachings of the reference only in that the process for producing egg yolk antibodies binding to small molecule organo chlorine pesticides instead of parasitic antigens as taught by the '228 patent or any antigen as taught by the '272 patent wherein the bird is immunized with 1000 µg conjugate 2,4,5 trichlorophenoxyacetic acid β-alanine mixed in 0.85 ml paraffin and 0.15 ml mannide monooleate in breast muscle, and the booster shots are given at a dose of 500 µg conjugate 2,4,5 trichlorophenoxyacetic acid β-alanine at the intervals of two, three five weeks and five weeks thereof as long as the bird lays eggs.

The claimed invention in claim 2 differs from the teachings of the references only in that the process for the production of egg yolk antibodies binding to small molecule organo chlorine pesticides wherein the desired hapten-protein conjugates having the binding properties to Hexachlorohexane.

The '682 patent teaches various adjuvant such as known complete adjuvant for vaccine Emulsigen, which is a paraffin oil in water emulsion that can be used in food animal and Freund's Incomplete adjuvant which is 15 percent (0.15 ml) by weight mannide monooleate and 85% (0.85 ml) paraffin oil (See column 4, lines 24-31, in particular). The reference adjuvants are useful for slowly releasing the vaccine into the animal and potentiating the immune response (See column 4, lines 31-32, in particular).

Beasley *et al* teach a method of making polyclonal antibody that binds to various pesticides such as Hexachlorohexane (HCH) by immunizing the rabbit with 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4,5-T) having a β-alanine spacer arm conjugated to KLH or Ova

where the reference antibody having the binding property to Hexachlorohexane (HCH) (See page 207, Antibody production, in particular). Beasley et al further teach a method of making hapten conjugate to small molecule organo chlorine pesticide such as herbicide 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4,5-T) having a β -alanine spacer arm by hydroxysuccinimide (See page 205, Materials and Methods, second full paragraph, page 206, structure Ib, in particular). The reference process of making conjugate hapten 2, 4,5-Trichloro phenoxy acetic acid (TCB) hapten binding to hexachloro hexane involves the steps of: (a) adding β -alanine spacer arm to 2.55 g of 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4,5-T) in 5.95 ml thionyl chloride (50 mmol), (b) refluxing for 1 hour and removing unreacted thionyl chloride by evaporation; (c) stirring the product with β -alanine (9 mmol, 0.66g in 7.4 ml of 1M OH) at 0°C; (d) then warming the product over 16 hours at room temperature; (e) isolating the resulting acid by acidification; (f) partitioning the into ethyl acetate; (g) washing with water and brine and giving a yield of 0.5g or 16% of crude product hapten containing 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4,5-T) (See page 205, second full paragraph, in particular). Beasley et al teach 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4,5-T) is slightly more sensitive than other Hapten protein conjugate such as 1,2,4-TCB (See page 209, line 1, in particular) and 2,4,5 trichlorophoxyacetic acid (2,4,5-TCP) provides a relative simple targets for antibody development, enabling the detection of HCH residues by immunoassays after its conversion in samples to chlorobenzenes (See page 204, last paragraph, in particular).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the parasitic immunogen as taught by the '228 patent or the antigen as taught by the '272 patent for the small organo chlorine pesticide immunogen such as 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4,5-T)- β -alaine as taught by Beasley *et al* in adjuvant such as 15 percent (0.15 ml) by weight mannide monooleate and 85% (0.85 ml) paraffin oil as taught by the '682 patent for a process of making any egg yolk antibodies that bind to small molecule organo chlorine pesticide as taught by the '228 patent, the 682 patent and Beasley *et al*. From the combined teachings of the references, it is apparent that one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in producing the claimed invention.

One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because the '228 patent teaches that the advantages of antibodies from egg yolks of hyperimmunized hens because it provides a continuous source of large quantities of uniform antibodies which can be easily collected and stored (column 1, lines 54-59, in particular) and whole chicken serum is also

Art Unit: 1644

remarkably resistant to temperature and acidity (See column 5, line 49-51, in particular). The '272 patent teaches the advantages of producing egg yolk antibodies are that it is comparatively easy to raise and keep chickens under conditions where they will produce antibody against the antigen desired and the antibody produced persists over such long periods such as the entire laying period (see column 4, lines 45-50, in particular). The Beasley et al teach 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4,5-T) is useful for making antibody that binds to Hexachlorohexane (HCH) and 2,4,5-T is slightly more sensitive than other Hapten protein conjugate such as 1,2,4-TCB (See page 209, line 1, in particular). The '682 patent teaches that adjuvant is useful for slowly releasing the vaccine into the animal and in potentiating the immune response (See column 4, lines 31-32, in particular). The booster shots of immunizing the bird again and again at various intervals such as two, three or five weeks as long as the bird lay eggs is within the purview of one skill in the art at the time the invention was made because the '228 patent and the '272 patent teach booster shots maintain hyperimmunized antibody producing state and enhance the titer of the antibody. The recitation of collecting the eggs daily and stored at 4 °C until use is within the purview of one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because it is routine and customary to store away the collected egg at room temperature or refrigerated at 4°C until use. Claim 14 is included in this rejection because the sensitivity of the egg yolk antibody (polyclonal) is an inherent property of the antibody and would expect to be equally sensitive to the polyclonal or monoclonal antibodies produced by mammals because they are immunized with the same immunogen.

Applicants' arguments filed 1/12/06 have been fully considered but are not found persuasive.

Applicants' position is that the present invention relates to the production of antibodies in a poultry bird against a TCB hapten. It is a well-known fact that antibodies are produced only against anything the animal senses to be foreign to it. Ovalbumin (OA) is a part of a poultry bird's system, i.e. egg albumin, but bovine serum albumin (BSA) is not. Therefore one of ordinary skill in the art would expect that the production of antibodies would be low in hens immunized with TCB-OA compared to TCB-BSA. This is because the poultry bird would be expected to recognize TCB-BSA as a foreign substance and therefore to produce antibodies against it. Figure 1 attached hereto as Appendix I represents an assay performed with both the TCB-BSA and the TCB-OA antibodies. As can be seen, the sensitivity of the TCB-OA antibody

is less than that of TCB-BSA and demonstrates an unexpected result. Therefore, applicants have rebutted the prima facie case of obviousness by showing unexpected results.

Applicant's discussion of the prior art rejections and showing of unexpected result (Figure 1 in Appendix I) are noted but not found persuasive since the breadth of the claims clearly exceeds the showing of unexpected results. The nonobviousness of a broader claim can be supported by evidence based on unexpected results from testing a species contained within the claim if one of ordinary skill in the art would be able to determine a trend in the exemplified data which would allow the artisan to reasonably extend the probative value thereof to the broader claim. In *re Kollman* 201 USPQ 193 (CCPA 1979). Here, however, given the unpredictability associated with the hapten structure conjugated to 2, 4, 5 trichlorophenoxyacetic acid β -alanine for a process of producing egg yolk antibodies binding to any small molecule organo chlorine pesticides, it does not appear that the specification provides sufficient objective evidence to extend unexpected results showing contained herein.

Further, it is noted that claim 1 still recites a process for the production of egg yolk antibodies binding to *any* small molecule organo chlorine pesticides ...immunizing the bird with 1000 μ g of *any hapten protein* conjugate 2,4,5 trichlorophenoxyacetic acid β -alanine...harvesting antibodies from the yolk of the bird. The "hapten protein" in the conjugate 2,4,5 trichlorophenoxyacetic acid β -alanine (TCB) of the claimed process encompasses any "hapten protein" such as ovalbumin (OVA), bovine serum albumin (BSA) or KLH conjugated to 2,4,5 trichlorophenoxyacetic acid β -alanine (TCB). The claims as written are not limited to a process of making egg yolk antibodies using only TCB-BSA as argued. *Beasley et al* teach 2,4,5 trichlorophenoxyacetic acid β -alanine conjugated to either keyhole limpet haemocyanin (KLH) or ovalbumin and rabbit polyclonal antibodies for detection of organo chlorine pesticides (see discussion above).

Finally, the specification is silent as to which "hapten protein" such as ovalbumin (OVA), KLH or bovine albumin (BSA) conjugated to 2,4,5 trichlorophenoxyacetic acid β -alanine (TCB-OVA) for the claimed process. Thus the combined teachings of the cited references teach the claimed invention.

8. Claim 5 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat No 5,753,228 (May 1998, PTO 892) or US Pat No 4,357,272 (Nov 1982, PTO 892) each in view of US Pat No 5,688,682 (Nov 1997; PTO 892) and *Beasley et al* (Food and Agricultural Immunology 12: 303-

215, Sept 2000; PTO 892) as applied to claims 1-2 and 11-15 mentioned above and further in view of McAdam et al (J Agric Food Chem 40: 1466-70, 1992; PTO 892).

The combined teachings of the '228 patent, the '272 patent, the '682 patent and Beasley *et al* have been discussed supra. Beasley et al further teach a method of making hapten conjugate to small molecule organo chlorine pesticide such as herbicide 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4,5-T) which conjugated to a β -alanine spacer arm by hydroxysuccinimide (See page 205, Materials and Methods, second full paragraph, page 206, structure Ib, in particular). The reference process of making conjugate hapten 2, 4,5-Trichloro phenoxy acetic acid (TCB) hapten binding to hexachloro hexane involves the steps of: (a) adding β -alanine spacer arm to 2.55 g of 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4,5-T) in 5.95 ml thionyl chloride (50 mmol), (b) refluxing for 1 hour and removing unreacted thionyl chloride by evaporation; (c) stirring the product with β -alanine (9 mmol, 0.66g in 7.4 ml of 1M OH) at 0°C; (d) then warming the product over 16 hours at room temperature; (e) isolating the resulting acid by acidification; (f) partitioning the into ethyl acetate; (g) washing with water and brine and giving a yield of 0.5g or 16% of crude product hapten containing 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4,5-T) (See page 205, second full paragraph, in particular). Beasley et al teach the impurity such as un-react hapten can be isolate by chromatography on silica gel (see page 205, last paragraph, in particular) using various solvents such as chloroform (see page 205, last paragraph) and methanol of choice (See page 211, first paragraph, in particular). The ratio of chloroform and methanol such as 85:15 as eluent for thin layer chromatography is within the purview of one skill in the art at the time the invention was made because it is a routine optimization to separate the product from the contaminant. The spraying with 2% o-toluidine in acetone for thin layer chromatography is within the purview of one skill in the art at the time the invention was made because it is a routine visualization in thin layer chromatography analysis. The Rf value of 0.45 and the melting rang of 169-70°C are inherent properties of the reference compound. Beasley et al further teach synthesizing NHS ester of 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4,5-T)- β -alaline using the same procedure as Triclopyr by dissolving in dichloromethane, adding N-hydroxysuccinimide and dicyclohexycarbodiimide as a coupling agent and stirring at room temperature and isolating the product using silica (See page 205, last paragraph, in particular). Beasley et al teach the most effective solvent for hexachlorocyclexane are methanol, acetone and hexane:acetone (4:1) (See page 210, Detection of Residues in Soil and Water, in particular).

The claimed invention in claim 5 differs from the combined teachings of the references only in that the process for the production of egg yolk antibodies to small molecule organochlorine pesticides wherein the production of conjugate hapten 2,4,5-Trichloro phenoxy acetic acid β -alanine (TCB) hapten binding to Hexachloro hexane involves the steps of adding dimethylaminopyridine as a catalyst; stirring the mixture overnight and the temperature slowly raised to the room temperature; filtering and evaporating acetone and (r) separating the active ester as a colorless solid.

McAdam *et al* teach three approaches to hapten-protein conjugation such as coupling of β -alanine to organophosphate by dissolving β -alanine in dichloromethane, adding dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) and dimethylaminopyridine as a catalyst, stirring the mixture at room temperature such as 20°C, filtering, drying and separating the active ester (See page 1467, column 1, first paragraph, in particular). McAdam *et al* further teach a method of coupling of activated ester such as Fenitrothion to hapten such as KLH, HRP or Ovalbumin (OA) using N-hydroxysuccinimide as a coupling agent for making the reference organophosphate Fenitrothion more immunogenic for antibody production (See page 1467, column 1, Coupling of Activated Fenitrothion Succinimide Esters to carrier proteins, column 2, polyclonal and monoclonal antibody production, in particular). McAdam *et al* teach that hapten conjugates coupled through the spacer-arm such as alanine yield the most specific monoclonal and polyclonal antibody and higher affinity (See page abstract, page 1468 column 2, last paragraph, in particular). McAdam *et al* teach Monoclonal antibodies offer the advantage of potential scale up of production of any well defined antibody and polyclonal antibodies prepared the same way used in the same assay format is only slightly less sensitive (See page 1469, column 2, General Discussion, in particular).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to produce any egg yolk antibodies (polyclonal antibodies) binding to small molecule organochlorine pesticides as taught by the '228 patent, the '272 patent, the '682 patent and Beasley *et al* by synthesizing the active ester of any hapten-beta alanine by dissolving in dichloromethane, coupling to beta-alanine using N-hydroxysuccinimide and dicyclohexylcarbodiimide as coupling agents as taught by Beasley *et al* and McAdam *et al* in the presence of dimethylaminopyridine as a catalyst as taught by McAdam *et al*. From the combined teachings of the references, it is apparent that one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in producing the claimed invention.

One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because McAdam *et al* teach that hapten conjugates coupled through the spacer-arm such as alanine yield the most specific monoclonal and polyclonal antibody and higher affinity (See page abstract, page 1468 column 2, last paragraph, in particular). McAdam *et al* teach Monoclonal antibodies offer the advantage of potential scale up of production of any well defined antibody and polyclonal antibodies prepared the same way used in the same assay format is only slightly less sensitive (See page 1469, column 2, General Discussion, in particular). The recitation of adding dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO) drop wise to the mixture until the hapten dissolved is within the purview of one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because polar solvent such as DMSO dissolves similar polar compound (like dissolves like) since Beasley *et al* teach the most effective solvent for hexachlorocyclexane are methanol, acetone and hexane:acetone (4:1) (See page 210, Detection of Residues in Soil and Water, in particular). The recitation of melting range of 102-104 °C of 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic-beta alanine is inherent property of the compound 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic-beta alanine.

Applicants' arguments filed 1/12/06 have been fully considered but are not found persuasive. The Examiner's rebuttals stated above are incorporated here by reference.

9. Claims 6 and 8-9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat No 5,753,228 (May 1998, PTO 892) or US Pat No 4,357,272 (Nov 1982, PTO 892) each in view of US Pat No 5,688,682 (Nov 1997; PTO 892) and Beasley *et al* (Food and Agricultural Immunology 12: 303-215, Sept 2000; PTO 892) as applied to claims 1-2 and 11-15 mentioned above and further in view of Deignan *et al* (Food and Agricultural Immunology 12: 77-85, March 2000; PTO 892) and Akita *et al* (J of Food Science 57(3): 629-634, 1992; PTO 892).

The combined teachings of the '228 patent, the '272 patent, the '682 patent and Beasley *et al* have been discussed supra.

The claimed invention in claim 6 differs from the combined teachings of the references only that the process for the production of egg yolk antibodies wherein harvesting of antibodies as defined in step (g) of claim 1 (a) obtained egg yolk without rupturing the yolk; (b) adding 100 ml of Tris buffer for every 10 ml of yolk; (c) removing the precipitate by centrifugation; (d) adding to the supernatant the precipitate solution of magnesium chloride and phosphotungstic acid for centrifuging; (e) discarding the pellet; (f) adding to the supernatant a water solution protein fraction of 12% polyethylene glycol; (g) incubating for 10 minutes and then centrifuging

again; (h) precipitating out the antibody; (i) adding 10 ml of 10mM phosphate buffer to dissolve the precipitate; (j) cooling the antibody solution to 0°C; (k) adding 10 ml of precooled ethanol; (l) centrifuging the solution at 4°C and dissolving the sediment in 10 mM phosphate buffer; and (m) dialyzing against phosphate buffer for 24 hour at 4°C to obtain the yield of antibodies.

The claimed invention in claim 8 differs from the combined teachings of the references only in that the process for the production of egg yolk antibodies to small organo chlorine pesticides wherein the lipid from egg yolk is precipitated out twice using the precipitating solution of phosphotungstic acid and magnesium chloride and centrifuged obtaining the antibody yield up to 75% from supernatant.

The claimed invention in claim 9 differs from the combined teachings of the references only in that the process for the production of egg yolk antibodies to small organo chlorine pesticides wherein the pH of the water soluble protein fraction obtained after the removal of the lipids is adjusted to pH 5.0 to further precipitate out the antibodies for obtaining a yield of 80-90%.

Deignan *et al* teach a comparative analysis of five published methods of purifying egg yolk immunoglobulin such as lipid removal by freeze and thaw at neutral pH of Jensenius et al (1981), precipitation with 3.5% polyethylene glycol (PEG) of Polson and von Wechmar (1980), precipitation with dextran sulphate and calcium chloride of Jensenius et al (1981), precipitation with phosphotungstic acid and magnesium chloride of Vieira et al (1984) (See entire document, Lipid removal page 78 bridging page 79, in particular) and immunoglobulin precipitation by precipitation using 12% PEG of Polson & von Wedmar, 1980; Polson et al 1985) (See page 80, in particular). Deignan *et al* teach obtaining egg yolk without rupturing the yolk (page 78, egg yolk separation, in particular), follows by lipid removal using the method of Vieira et al by adding 100 ml of Tris buffer for every 10 ml of yolk; (c) removing the precipitate by centrifugation; (d) adding to the supernatant the precipitate solution of magnesium chloride and phosphotungstic acid for centrifuging; (e) discarding the pellet (See page 79, Precipitation with phosphotungstic acid and magnesium chloride, in particular), follows by immunoglobulin precipitation using the method of Polson & von Wedmar et al by adding to the supernatant a water solution protein fraction of 12% polyethylene glycol; (g) incubating for 10 minutes and then centrifuging again; (h) precipitating out the antibody; (i) adding 5 ml of 10mM phosphate buffer to dissolve the precipitate; (j) cooling the antibody solution to 0°C; (k) adding 5 ml of precooled ethanol; (l) centrifuging the solution at 4°C and dissolving the sediment in 5ml of phosphate buffer; and (m)

dialyzing against phosphate buffer for 24 hour at 4°C to obtain the yield of antibodies. Deignan *et al* teach that the advantage of removal of lipid from native egg yolk using a combination of polyanions and cations such as phosphotungstic acid and magnesium chloride is that it recovered the highest yield of 21.6 mg (range of 20.4-33.0) of protein per ml of egg yolk (See Figure 1, page 81, in particular) and the IgY purity as estimated by densitometry was 69.8%. Following lipid removal, immunoglobulin precipitation using 12% PEG gives the highest yield of 8.62 mg (range 8.39 to 8.83) of IgY per ml of egg yolk and this method was deemed the best (See page 82, Ig precipitation, Fig 2, page 82, Discussion, in particular).

Akita *et al* teach a process of purifying egg antibodies (IgY) by lowering the pH to 5.0 of the water soluble protein fraction (WSF) to further remove the lipid from said WSF and the highest yield of IgY such as 92.7 to 94.2 % is obtained between 5.0 to 5.2, respectively (See Table 1, page 631, in particular).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to harvest the egg yolk antibodies that bind to small molecule organo chlorine pesticides as taught by the '228 patent, the '272 patent, the '682 patent and Beasley *et al* using the solution of phosphotungstic acid and magnesium chloride followed by Ig precipitation using 12% PEG as taught by the Deignan *et al* and further remove the lipid from said WSF by lowering the pH to 5.0 as taught by Akita *et al*. From the combined teachings of the references, it is apparent that one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in producing the claimed invention.

One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because Deignan *et al* teach that the advantage of removing lipid from native egg yolk using a combination of polyanions and cations such as phosphotungstic acid and magnesium chloride is that it recovered the highest yield of 21.6 mg (range of 20.4-33.0) of protein per ml of egg yolk (See Figure 1, page 81, in particular) and the IgY purity as estimated by densitometry was 69.8%. Following lipid removal, immunoglobulin precipitation using 12% PEG gives the highest yield of 8.62 mg (range 8.39 to 8.83) of IgY per ml of egg yolk and this method is deemed the best (See page 82, Ig precipitation, Fig 2, page 82, Discussion, in particular). The recitation of precipitated out lipid from egg yolk "twice" using the precipitating solution of phosphotungstic acid and magnesium chloride is within the purview of one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because it is an obvious variation of the teaching of Deignan *et al* who teaches that the advantage of removal of lipid from native egg yolk using a combination of polyanions and

cations such as phosphotungstic acid and magnesium chloride would give the IgY purity as estimated by densitometry was 69.8%, which is within the limit of "up to" 75%. Akita *et al* teach that the advantage of lowering the pH to 5.0 of the water soluble protein fraction (WSF) further removes the lipid from said WSF and the highest yield of IgY such as 92.7 to 94.2 % is obtained between 5.0 to 5.2, respectively (See Table 1, page 631, in particular).

Applicants' arguments filed 1/12/06 have been fully considered but are not found persuasive. The Examiner's rebuttals stated above are incorporated here by reference.

10. Claims 7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat No 5,753,228 (May 1998, PTO 892) or US Pat No 4,357,272 (Nov 1982, PTO 892) each in view of US Pat No 5,688,682 (Nov 1997; PTO 892) and Beasley *et al* (Food and Agricultural Immunology 12: 303-215, Sept 2000; PTO 892) as applied to claims 1-2 and 11-15 mentioned above and further in view of Akita *et al* (J of Immunological Methods 160: 207-214, 1993; PTO 892) or Hatta *et al* (Agric Biol Chem 54(10): 2531-2535, 1990; PTO 892).

The combined teachings of the '228 patent, the '272 patent, the '682 patent and Beasley *et al* have been discussed supra.

The claimed invention in claim 7 differs from the combined teachings of the references only in that the process for the production of egg yolk antibodies to small organo chlorine pesticides wherein the harvesting of antibodies can also be conducted as follows: (a) obtaining the egg yolk from the eggshell without rupturing the yolk membrane; (b) adding for every 10 ml of yolk, 10 ml of distilled water; (c) adding about 0.15% of kappa-carrageenan and left to stir for 30 minutes at room temperature; (d) filtering and centrifuging the solution for 15 minutes; (e) passing through the DEAE-Sephacel column prepared with 20 mM phosphate buffer at pH 8.0; (f) eluting with 0.2 M phosphate buffer pH 8.0; (g) collecting the eluate and the absorbance read at 280 nm; and (h) pooling and storing the peak fractions containing the antibody at 4°C.

The claimed invention in claim 10 differs from the combined teachings of the references only in that the process for the production of egg yolk antibodies to small organo chlorine pesticides wherein the yield of antibody is to the extent of 73%.

Akita *et al* teach a process of isolating egg yolk immunoglobulin such as obtaining egg yolk from the egg shell without rupturing the yolk membrane from immunized hens; adding about 0.15% (w/v) of carrageenan (120 mg in 80 ml distilled water, which equal to 0.15%) and mixing and stirring for 15 minutes at room temperature which is about 20° C, centrifuging it to separate

the water-soluble protein fraction from the yolk lipoproteins (see page 210, right column, Fig 4, in particular). Akita *et al* teach the reference method yield 89% or 7.3 mg/ml of egg yolk (See caption in Figure 4, in particular). Akita *et al* teach the IgY in water-soluble protein fraction is purified by gel filtration such as passing through Sephadex G-25 column with the appropriate buffer and collecting the eluate by monitoring the absorbance at 280 nm (See page 210, Gel filtration, in particular). Akita *et al* further teach the hyperimmunized eggs are collected and store at 4°C until use (See page 208, column 2, immunization, in particular).

Hatta *et al* teach a process of isolating egg yolk immunoglobulin, IgG, a livetin protein, using several natural gums such as carrageenan and xanthan gum) by (a) obtaining the egg yolk from the eggshell without rupturing the yolk membrane from immunized hens; (b) adding about 0.1% (w/v) of kappa carrageenan (60 mg of carrageenan in 40 ml of distilled water) to the egg yolk in order to separate the water-soluble protein fraction from the yolk lipoproteins by centrifugation (See page 2534, Diagram 1, Table 1, in particular); filtering the water-soluble protein fraction through filter paper, and passing through the DEAE-Sephacel column prepared with 20 mM phosphate buffer at pH 8.0 (see page 2533, column 1, Purification of IgY from egg yolk, in particular); eluting the yolk antibodies with 0.2 M (200mM) phosphate buffer pH 8.0; collecting the peak fractions by monitoring at 280 nm (See page 2534, Diagram 1, Table 1, in particular). Hatta *et al* teach the purity of IgY obtained by the reference method was 98.3% with a yield of 73% (See page 2534, column 1, second full paragraph, in particular). Hatta *et al* teach natural gums such as kappa carrageenan are effective as precipitant of yolk lipoproteins and the gum has been used as a food ingredient, so that IgY prepared by this method should be suitable for oral administration (See page 2534, column 2, Discussion, in particular).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to harvest the egg yolk antibodies that bind to small molecule organo chlorine pesticides as taught by the '228 patent, the '272 patent the '682 patent and Beasley *et al* using the process of separating lipoprotein by carrageenan and gel filtration such as DEAE-Sephacel column chromatography as taught by Akita *et al* and Hatta *et al*. From the combined teachings of the references, it is apparent that one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in producing the claimed invention.

One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because Akita *et al* teach that purification of IgY from egg yolk by carrageenan has no adverse effect on the immunoreactivities of IgY and the yield in terms of purity is 89% (See page 210, caption in Fig 4,

Art Unit: 1644

abstract, in particular). Hatta *et al* teach natural gums such as kappa carrageenan are effective as precipitant of yolk lipoproteins and the gum has been used as a food ingredient, so that IgY prepared by this method should be suitable for oral administration (See page 2534, column 2, Discussion, in particular).

Applicants' arguments filed 1/12/06 have been fully considered but are not found persuasive. The Examiner's rebuttals stated above are incorporated here by reference.


11. No claim is allowed.
12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuong Huynh "NEON" whose telephone number is (571) 272-0846. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:00 am to 5:30 p.m. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (571) 272-0841. The IFW official Fax number is (571) 273-8300.
13. Any information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phuong N. Huynh, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600

March 31, 2006


CHRISTINA CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600